

CURS Grant Review Rubric

	<p>AUGUSTA UNIVERSITY Center for Undergraduate Research and Scholarship</p>	<p>Project # _____</p>
--	--	-------------------------------

Criteria	0 - 1	2-3	4-5	Score
I. Research Project Description & Significance	Description of research is missing (0) or too unclear for an interdisciplinary audience. Contribution to research or scholarship in the field is missing or negligible.	Description of research is adequate for an interdisciplinary audience. Contribution to research or scholarship in the field appears strong and project is described clearly.	Description of research is very clearly stated for an interdisciplinary audience, and significance in the field appears above average or exceptional.	
II. Clarity of Mentee & Mentor Roles	Roles are not addressed (0). Description of roles are incomplete, lack thoughtful mentorship, or student learning opportunities.	Integration of student in discovery and scholarly process is clear, mentor responsibilities are identified, thoughtful, and allow for intellectual and professional growth of student..	Potential for intellectual and professional growth of student is exceptional; Mentor responsibilities are thoughtful, and allow for significant mutual benefit. Vertical or multiple levels of mentorship are involved.	
III. Budget Justification	Budget is missing or incomplete (0); Budget shows inefficient or minimally efficient use of funds.	Budget is complete, stated clearly, and request is reasonable for project. Justification states what is feasible with different levels of funding.	Budget is complete, stated clearly, and request is reasonable for project. Budget shows attempts to maximize the use of funds. Justification states what is feasible with different levels of funding.	
IV. Plan for Dissemination	No plans for dissemination are considered or discussed (0); project is too premature for described dissemination; plans for dissemination are otherwise unrealistic or poorly thought out.	Plans for dissemination are discussed, are adequate for level of research, realistic, and support student professional development.	Plans for dissemination are clear and support student and faculty professional development. Dissemination has strong potential to impact AU positively.	
Overall Quality of Application	Application is incomplete, unprofessional (e.g., spelling, grammar, formatting errors), or did not follow requested format. Research described appears inadequate for university scholarship or shows little value to students or faculty mentors.	Application is organized, clear, and follows the requested format and provides requested information. Project level is appropriate for university level scholarship.	Application is highly organized, clear, and follows the requested format. Authors provide exceptional interpretation of project outcomes for interdisciplinary review and justifies how funding supports university mission.	

TOTAL SCORE=

Reviewer Comments: